could not escape from the spell of the poet antiquity. There were, of course, narrow-mir fanatics in plenty who would cheerfully have bui the contents of every pagan library and 1 imagined that they were offering an accept sacrifice, and there were doubtless many more \* without vindictiveness towards the classics, "| quite content with want of culture, deeming ignorance was more becoming to Christian plicity (Simplex sermo veritatis.) The tendenci < Christianity, as compared with paganism, were towards what we the humanities and education, for the dominant feeling was that t was only one book in the world which really: tered, and that was the Bible. There was, it is 1 a slight literary renaissance starting at the clos the fourth century, with which we associate names Ausonius, Paulinus of Nola, Pruden Claudian. This was mainly Christian. Auso strictly followed classical models; the graceful vigorous hymns of Prudentius were an original valuable contribution to literature: Claudian st; neutral. "The last of the classics/' as Mr. Ma< has well said,\* " he is, at the same time, the eat and one of the most distinguished of the classic It might seem a mere chance whether his pc belonged to the fourth or to the sixteenth centt This literary renaissance, however, was a last flii and while we have to thank the Church for pre< ing the Latin tongue, we owe it little than compared with the paganism it had overthrown-

<sup>\*</sup> History of Latin Literature, Bk. III., c. 7.